



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/687,344 | 10/15/2003 | Ivan Osorio | 011738.00149 | 7817 |
| 70467 | 7590 | 07/09/2010 | EXAMINER | |
| BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD | | | RAJAN, KAI | |
| AND ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NUMBER 011738 | | | | |
| 10 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 3000 | | | | 3769 |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/09/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/687,344 | OSORIO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kai Rajan | 3769 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: afterfinal, prosecution reopened

(1) Kai Rajan. (3) ____.

(2) Matthew May. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2010

Time: 2:00pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed the status of the application. Applicant filed a response on April 7, 2010 to the final rejection of January 7, 2010. After review of the application, Examiner has reopened prosecution and will issue a new office action on the merits. No further action is required by Applicant at this time.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Henry M. Johnson, III/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3769
 /Kai Rajan/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3769

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)